

### **MEETING MINUTES**

Supporting Information for Interested Parties reading the IDSC Meeting Minutes to be Found in Annex 1

| MEETING DETAILS |                               |                  |                |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Project Name    | SIDC – Single Intraday Market |                  |                |
| Governance Body | Steering Committee            |                  |                |
| Meeting Date    | 5 May 2020 10:45 -15:00       | Meeting Location | Teleconference |

### **SIDC PARTIES**

AFFÄRSVERKET SVENSKA KRAFTNÄT

**AMPRION GmbH** 

AUSTRIAN POWER GRID AG AS "Augstsprieguma tīkls"

**BRITNED DEVELOPMENT LIMITED** 

ČEPS, a.s

CREOS Luxembourg S.A.

Croatian Transmission System Operator Ltd.

EirGrid plc

**ELECTRICITY SYSTEM OPERATOR EAD** 

**ELERING AS** 

**ELIA SYSTEM OPERATOR SA/NV** 

ELES, Ltd., Electricity Transmission System

Operator

Energinet Elsystemansvar A/S

FINGRID OYJ

Independent Power Transmission Operator S.A.

LITGRID AB

MAVIR Hungarian Independent Transmission

Operator Company Ltd.

NATIONAL GRID INTERCONNECTORS LIMITED

National Power Grid Company Transelectrica S.A.

Polskie Sieci Elektroenergetyczne S.A.

Red Eléctrica de España, S.A.U.

REN – Rede Eléctrica Nacional, S.A.

3RD PARTIES:

**ACER** 

ENTSO-E

Ernst & Young, s.r.o

**BEA** 

MODO

Indra

E-Bridge

Artelys

RTE Réseau de Transport d'Electricité

Slovenská elektrizačná prenosová sústava, a.s.

SONI Limited
STATNETT SE

TENNET TSO B.V.

TENNET TSO GmbH

Terna - Rete Elettrica Nazionale S.p.A.

TRANSNET BW GmbH

50Hertz Transmission GmbH BSP Energy Exchange LL C

CROATIAN POWER EXCHANGE Ltd.

EirGrid plc EPEX Spot SE

European Market Coupling Operator AS

Gestore dei Mercati Energetici S.p.A. HELLENIC ENERGY EXCHANGE S.A.

HUPX Hungarian Power Exchange Company

Limited by Shares

Limited by Shares

Independent Bulgarian Energy Exchange

OKTE, a.s.

OMI-Polo Español, S. A

Operatorul Pietei de Energie Electrica si de Gaze

Naturale "OPCOM" S.A.

OTE, a.s

Towarowa Giełda Energii S.A.



#### **A**GENDA

| Agenda Topic  | Time          |
|---|---------------|
| 1) Welcome  | 10:45 – 10:55 |
| 2) Approve minutes and review actions                 | 10:55 – 11:00 |
| 3) Integrated Plan                                    | 11:00 - 11:10 |
| 4) QARM Report  | 11:10 – 12:10 |
| 5) BMSG Report  | 12:10 – 12:25 |
| 6) OPSCOM report                                      | 12:25 - 12:45 |
| Lunch Break - 12:45 - 13:45                           |               |
| 7) Market & System Design                             | 13:45 – 14:15 |
| 8) NEMOs & TSOs progress reports and escalated issues | 14:15 – 14:30 |
| 9) Com SG   | 14:30- 14:40  |
| 10) Any Other Business                                | 14:40 – 15:00 |

#### 1. Welcome

The IDSC Co-Chairs open the meeting by welcoming the SIDC members on the teleconference.

The agenda for the meeting is presented and approved by the IDSC.

### 2. Approve minutes and review actions

The minutes from the IDSC held on 01 April 2020 are approved. The action point list was reviewed and the current status was shared with the IDSC.

### 3. Integrated Plan

MSD Convener/LIP Testing Coordinator presented the current vision on the development plan for 2020 and plan for the LIP 14 Testing. The LIP 14 Go-live is expected to take place in Q1 2021.

### 4. Quality Assurance and Risk Management (QARM) Report

QARM Convener presented the results of the discussion with DBAG on commercials for the Release 3.0. Due to confidentiality these details cannot be disclosed to the public.

Furthermore the development of the short-term and enduring solution for transit shipping was adressed. These topics are still subject to clarification and discussion among the NEMOs/TSOs and NRAs.

#### 5. Budget Management Support Group Report

The BMSG convener reported on the NRAs 2019 cost report process. He further informed the IDSC that the Q1 2020 cost sharing key and March 2020 resettlement was approved by the BMSG.

### 6. OPSCOM Report

The OPSCOM PMO presented the details of the SIDC operation for the last month. He informed about the details of the incident committees and testing/trading activities. He further informed that the Golive planning for the Agile Pilot changes is currently being investigated by OPSCOM.

### 7. Market System Development Report



The MSD Convener presented progress and open issues concerning lossess - in particular: 1) Rounding issue; 2) Losses impact on ACER reporting; 3) Performance improvements. He further also shared the plan for IDA work for 2020. The next step is to prepare an assessment of different implementation options and based on the results decide on the way forward.

### 8. NEMOs and TSOs Report

NEMO and TSOs informed the IDSC about the recent development on the NEMO and TSO side. Majority of the topics was already covered under other agenda points (SIDC 2019 annual report in progress; transit shipping discussions; agile pilot completed; LIP 14 planning). The TSOs informed that 2 external tester companies were selected and the contract signature process is finalised.

### 9. Communication Support Group

The COM SG convener presented the recent work under COM SG (Press release, response to the journalist (Montel), TCG slides etc. ).

### 10. Any Other Business

The IDSC agreed to hold IDSC teleconference meetings in July and August 2020.



Last update: 25/06/2020

# ANNEX 1 Single Intraday Coupling (SIDC) Intraday Steering Committee (IDSC)

## Supporting Information for Interested Parties reading the IDSC Meeting Minutes

### 1. What is the Intraday Steering Committee (IDSC)?

The IDSC is the main governance group that oversees the Single Intraday Coupling. It consists of 46 parties (NEMOs and TSOs) who are responsible for overseeing the operation, further expansion and development of SIDC.

### 2. What is the Single Intraday Coupling (SIDC) initiative?

The aim of SIDC, formerly known as the XBID, Cross Border Intraday project, is to create a single pan European cross zonal intraday electricity market. An integrated intraday market will increase the overall efficiency of intraday trading by promoting effective competition, increasing liquidity and enable a more efficient utilisation of the generation resources across Europe.

SIDC is an initiative between the Nominated Electricity Market Operators (NEMOs) and Transmission System Operators (TSOs) which enables continuous cross-border trading across Europe.

The SIDC Solution was first launched on 12th/13th June 2018 across 14 countries. In the first 14 months of operation over 20 million trades have been completed. The 2<sup>nd</sup> wave was launched on 19<sup>th</sup> November 2019.

It is based on a common IT system with one Shared Order Book (SOB), a Capacity Management Module (CMM) and a Shipping Module (SM). This means that orders entered by market participants for continuous matching in one country can be matched by orders similarly submitted by market participants in any other country within the project's reach if transmission capacity is available.

The intraday solution supports both explicit (where requested by NRAs) and implicit continuous trading and is in line with the EU Target model for an integrated intraday market.

### 3. Why is the intraday market so important to integrate European markets?

There are three different physical markets for trading electricity; Forward Market, Day- Ahead Market and Intraday market before delivery hour.

An integrated intraday market will promote effective competition and pricing, increase liquidity and enable a more efficient utilisation of the generation resources across Europe. With the increasing amount of intermittent production, it becomes more and more challenging for market participants to be in balance after the closing of the Day-Ahead market. Therefore, interest in trading in the intraday markets is increasing. Being balanced on the network closer from delivery time is beneficial for market participants and for the power systems alike by, among others reducing the need of reserves and associated costs.

### 4. What is the geographical scope of the initiative?

The first go-live in June 2018 included 14 countries: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Latvia, Lithuania, Norway,



The Netherlands, Portugal, Spain and Sweden. A second go-live with further countries – Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania and Slovenia. A third go-live (Italy and Greece) is foreseen for 1Q 2021.

Picture 1: Countries coupled by SIDC solution in 1st Wave Go-Live, shown in orange (13th June 2018), 2nd Wave Go-Live, shown in purple (19th November 2019); 3<sup>rd</sup> Wave, shown in green (planned for Q1 2021).



Please note: Luxembourg is part of the Amprion Delivery Area. Market participants in Luxembourg have access to the SIDC through the Amprion Delivery Area

### 5. Who are the partners involved?

The parties involved are:

Transmission System Operators (TSOs):

50HERTZ, ADMIE, AMPRION, APG, AST, BritNed, ČEPS, CREOS, EirGrid, ELERING, ELES, ELIA, ELSO, ESO, FINGRID, HOPS, Litgrid, MAVIR, NGIC, PSE, REE, REN, RTE, SEPS, SONI, STATNETT, SVENSKA KRAFTNÄT, TenneT DE, TenneT NL, TERNA, TRANSELECTRICA and TransnetBW.

Nominated Electricity Market Operators (NEMOs):



BSP, CROPEX, EirGrid, EPEX, GME, HEnEx, HUPX, IBEX, Nord Pool EMCO, OKTE, OMIE, OPCOM, OTE, SONI and TGE.

Please note integration of Swiss borders is not going to be possible due to the intergovernmental agreement on electricity cooperation not having been reached by end of 2016 [CACM Article 1 (4) & (5)]. In consequence, Swissgrid left the project in January 2017.

### 6. What is the relation between the SIDC project and the network codes/guidelines?

The SIDC initiative is a multiparty project working on the implementation of the SIDC Model being a continuous intraday market, based on a single capacity management module and a shared order book within a one-to-one relationship. The Guideline on Capacity Allocation and Congestion Management (CACM GL) endorses this SIDC Model. The CACM GL sets out, amongst others, the methods for allocating capacity in intraday timescales, rules for operating intraday markets and the basis for the implementation of a single electricity market across Europe.

SIDC is in line with the provisions of the CACM GL and the parties in the project fulfil the future requirements of CACM through their involvement.

### 7. Who is the system provider of the SIDC Solution?

The system provider is Deutsche Börse AG (DBAG).

### 8. What does this system do?

The orders submitted by the market participants of each NEMO are centralised in one shared order book (SOB). Similarly, all the intraday cross-border capacities are made available by the TSOs in the Capacity Management Module (CMM).

Order books displayed to the market participants via the usual NEMOs' trading systems contain orders coming from other participants of the concerned NEMO and also orders coming from other NEMOs for cross-border matching, provided there is enough capacity available.

Orders submitted for different market areas can be matched provided there is enough capacity available. In such a case, the order matching is associated with implicit capacity allocation. Concretely, when two orders are being matched the SOB and CMM is updated immediately. Trade is done on a first-come first-served principle where the highest buy price and the lowest sell price get served first. The update of SOB means that the orders that were matched are removed, and consequently that the available transmission capacity in the CMM is updated. For how many borders the capacities are updated depends on where the matched orders were located geographically.

For borders where NRAs requested for it, explicit allocation is made available to Explicit Participants (currently at the FR-DE border and planned for the SL-HR in the 2<sup>nd</sup> wave go-live).

During the trading period, available capacities and order books are simultaneously updated on a continuous basis.

The Shipping Module (SM) of the SIDC Solution provides information from trades concluded within SIDC to all relevant parties of the post-coupling process. The SM receives data from the SOB about all trades concluded:

- Between two different Delivery Areas
- In the same Delivery Area between two different Exchanges

The data from the SOB and the CMM are enhanced with relevant TSO, Central Counter Party (CCP) and Shipping Agent data from the SM and transferred to the parties at the configured moments.



### 9. What is the gain for market participants?

The solution is expected to increase the liquidity of the newly coupled intraday continuous markets, since orders submitted for the purpose will be potentially matched with orders submitted in any other participating country. In other words, orders that could not be matched in local markets increase their probability of being matched in the larger integrated market. In addition, the solution facilitates the operational tasks of intraday cross-border scheduling, since the capacity allocation and energy matching processes is done simultaneously. As a consequence, market efficiency is also expected to increase, to the benefit of the market participant.

### 10. How will this impact/how does this benefit the end consumers?

The direct benefit for the end consumer is expected to be positive, and the end consumers will benefit from this initiative increasing the overall wholesale market efficiency and facilitate the integration of the RES in the market. More concretely market participants having larger possibilities to be balanced before the hour of delivery will contribute to reduce the costs of reserves.

### 11. How does the SIDC project interlink with the PCR Day-Ahead project?

There is no direct interlink between these two projects other than the participating TSOs and NEMOs are mostly the same. However, both projects share the same purpose of implementing the European target models for electricity. Co-ordination is taking place between the senior leaders and project management teams of the two projects. In the future, in line with CACM requirements, it is expected that the governance for the ID and DA projects will progressively merge.

### 12. What are the Local Implementation Projects (LIPs)?

To implement the SIDC solution Local Implementation Projects (LIPs) were set up. Over 15 LIPs have been established so far. A LIP consists of one or more borders, one or more TSOs and one or more NEMOs. The LIPs main tasks are adaptation of local arrangements (i.e. procedures, shipping, contracts), IT system adjustments, secure equal treatment between NEMOs and implicit/explicit access and ensuring readiness for the participation in the SIDC LIP testing.

The LIPs are monitored via the SIDC Steering Committee where individual LIP's progress is reported. Further each LIP has set up a formal governance structure within the LIP (i.e. project manager, Steering Committee, etc.).

### 13. What are the responsibilities of the different groups mentioned in the IDSC minutes?

| Title                                   | Responsibility  |
|---|---|
| IDSC – Intraday Steering                | The IDSC is the highest level of governance in SIDC and tracks  |
| Committee                               | project status, risks, issues etc. as well as making strategic  |
|   | decisions and managing escalations within the project.  |
| OPSCOM and ICCC – Incident<br>Committee | OPSCOM is the governance body responsible for the ongoing operation of SIDC solution. It reviews operational performance and incidents. The ICCC was established to ensure that there is the ability to hold Incident Calls in the event of SIDC (XBID) system incidents. |
| ICT – Integrated Co-ordination          | The ICT is responsible for ensuring all streams of activity in the  |
| Team                                    | project are co-ordinated by means of an Integrated Plan. All  |



|                                 | Project Managers, PMOs and TF/SG leads attend and update progress against the project plan including identifying |
|---------------------------------|--|
|                                 | dependencies/risks/mitigations etc. Issues are escalated to the  |
|                                 | Co-Chairs of the IDSC.   |
| BM SG – Budget Management       | The BM SG is responsible for the financial management of the   |
| Support Group                   | project. This includes budgeting, cost validation, financial   |
| Support Group                   | reporting, and the cost resettlement processes in accordance   |
|                                 | with CACM, NRA cost reporting etc.   |
| COM SG – Communications         | The COM SG is responsible for stakeholder management. This   |
| Support Group                   | includes developing material for meetings with the European  |
| Support Group                   | Commission, NRAs, MESC etc. It is also responsible for drafting  |
|                                 | press releases. COM SG is also responsible for larger events   |
|                                 | such as Pre- Go-Live Launch Events.  |
| OTF – Operational Task Force    | The OTF is responsible for the description of Roles &  |
| on operational rask rollec      | Responsibilities, Operational procedures, and maintenance and  |
|                                 | testing of procedures.   |
| SG Losses – Sub Group Losses    | The SG Losses focuses on designing the concept for Losses on   |
| 30 2000C3 300 Group 2000C3      | DC Interconnectors and specifying the requirements. Also for   |
|                                 | undertaking functional specification reviews etc. It is also   |
|                                 | responsible for aspects of the concept such as single sided  |
|                                 | trades.  |
| MSD – Market & System Design    | The MSD is responsible for functional and technical aspects  |
| mos market a system sesign      | related to the software and infrastructure solution of XBID.   |
|                                 | This includes ensuring that IT requirements are specified for  |
|                                 | the DBAG solution and the review of functional specifications.   |
|                                 | It is also the joint body where technical decisions are made.  |
| LIP – Local Implementation      | A LIP is a project which manages a border/interconnector or  |
| Project                         | group of borders/interconnectors to enable them to ,go-live'   |
| -,                              | on the SIDC solution. A LIP will manage a plan covering local  |
|                                 | system adaptations, contractual changes, regulatory approvals  |
|                                 | and testing. There have been/are over 15 different LIPs (past  |
|                                 | and present).  |
| LIP Testing – Local             | The co-ordination of testing across the LIPs is essential. The LTC   |
| Implementation Project Testing  | co-ordinates preparation and execution of testing such as  |
| and Co-ordination (also known   | Connectivity, Functional Integration (FIT) and Simulation  |
| as LTC).                        | Integration (SIT) with a focus on local systems integration with   |
|                                 | XBID and the support of End-to-End tests executed together   |
|                                 | with XTG etc. Reporting on progress is made to the IDSC. The   |
|                                 | role has been in place for the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> wave go-lives.                                |
| L TF – Legal Task Force         | The LTF is responsible for the legal aspects of SIDC including   |
|                                 | drafting/review of legal agreements associated with the  |
|                                 | project. This includes contractual aspects relating to contracts   |
|                                 | with service providers and importantly, the Intraday   |
|                                 | Operational Agreement (IDOA).  |
| XTG – SIDC (XBID) Testing Group | The XTG is responsible for testing the SIDC (XBID) solution. It  |
|                                 | manages this testing across NEMOs and TSOs for all of the  |
|                                 | modules (CMM, SM, SOB). The XTG assesses, plans and delivers   |
|                                 | the testing for each testing phase (e.g. User Acceptance   |
|                                 | Testing, UAT). The XTG interfaces with DBAG and ensures, for   |
|                                 | example, that the contractually agreed exit criteria are met for   |
|                                 | each testing phase. The XTG also have an important interface   |
|                                 | with the LTC.  |
| GLC – Go-Live Co-ordinator      | The GLC plays a critical role in ensuring that all parties are   |
|                                 | prepared for go-live (geographical extensions). This involves  |



| defining the Go-live strategy and approach as well as        |
|--|
| identifying the activities that needed to be completed for a |
| successful go-live. As an example, the GLC tracked the       |
| completion of over 700 items for the 1st Go-Live.            |

### 14. And what do all the acronyms mean?!!!

| AP Action ASR Addi BBP Busin CC Conf | Other Business on Point itional Service Request ness Blueprint ference Call tral European Time |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| AP Action ASR Addi BBP Busin CC Conf | on Point itional Service Request ness Blueprint ference Call tral European Time                |
| ASR Addi BBP Busir CC Conf           | itional Service Request<br>ness Blueprint<br>ference Call<br>tral European Time                |
| BBP Busin                            | ness Blueprint<br>ference Call<br>tral European Time   |
| CC Conf                              | ference Call<br>tral European Time   |
|                                      | tral European Time   |
|                                      | ·  |
| <b>CET</b> Cent                      |  |
| CR Char                              | nge Request  |
| <b>DST</b> Dayli                     | ight Savings Time  |
| <b>EoB</b> End                       | of Business  |
| <b>EoD</b> End                       | of Day   |
| <b>EoY</b> End                       | of Year  |
| <b>EU</b> Euro                       | pean Union   |
| <b>FS</b> Func                       | ctional Specification  |
| <b>FTF</b> Func                      | ctional Task Force   |
| <b>HL</b> High                       | Level  |
| ID SC Intra                          | nday Steering Committee  |
| IMT Incid                            | lent Management Tool   |
| INC Inter                            | rim NEMO Committee   |
| JSC Joint                            | t Steering Committee   |
| <b>LIP</b> Loca                      | l Implementation Project   |
| MSD Mark                             | ket & System Design  |
| <b>NEMO</b> Nom                      | ninated Electricity Market Operator  |
| <b>OBK</b> Orde                      | erbook   |
| <b>PM</b> Proje                      | ect Manager  |
| <b>PMI</b> Publi                     | ic Message Interface   |
| <b>PMO</b> Proje                     | ect Management Office  |
| <b>PP</b> Proje                      | ect Place  |
| <b>PTF</b> Perfo                     | ormance Task Force   |
| QARM / QA&RM Qual                    | lity Assurance and Release Management  |
| R#.# Relea                           | ase number #.#   |
| RCB Relea                            | ase Control Board  |
| RTS Reali                            | istic Test Scenario  |
| SC Stee                              | ring Committee   |
| <b>SLA</b> Servi                     | ice Level Agreement  |
| SPOC Singl                           | le Point of Contract   |
| TBD To Be                            | e Defined  |
| TSO Trans                            | smission System Operator   |



| TWG | Technical Working Group |  |
|-----|-------------------------|--|
| ws  | Workshop                |  |
| WG  | Working Group           |  |
| XTG | XBID Testing Group      |  |