

70% minimum target Article 16(8) of the Electricity regulation

MESC - 09/03/2022





- 1. Harmonising the monitoring for the years to come
- 2. Overview of action plans and derogations for 2021 and 2022



Background

Dec 2019

Following 1 year of discussion with NRAs and TSOs, ACER issued a Recommendation on monitoring the 70% min. target

2020-2021

A number of reports (ACER, individual TSOs reports centralised by ENTSO-E, NRAs) were published to monitor/assess compliance



MESC market participants (EFET, IFIEC, Eurelectric) raised that multiple reports with multiple methodologies provide "a blurry picture" and shared their joint position on "Reporting over the minimum 70% requirement"



What has been done so far since last MESC meeting?

We are doing our homework!

- 1. Resolving differences among regulators first
- Considering the input from stakeholders (several MESC members' joint position).
 ACER/NRAs to agree on common principles to harmonise monitoring and main underlying charts of all 70% reports (see next slide)
- 3. NRAs will approach TSOs individually with a view to ensure maximum alignment



What will the harmonisation outcome look like?

- We are striving for a joint ACER/NRAs agreement on:
 - Common methodological principles (regulatory choices, no new discussions on technical issues), covering the main issues raised by MESC members
 - Common minimum set of charts to be used in all reports
 - Common period for publishing all reports related to 70%

Important remark:

- We strive for maximum alignment but discrepancies with very few NRAs and topics will remain
- In some cases, discrepancies are resolved by 'compromises' (e.g. publishing charts with and without third countries flows)
- To ensure transparency, where differences remain, they will be transparently communicated
- As a result, we will publish a short document together with our next MACZT report including
 - The agreed principles
 - The approach of each NRA with regard to monitoring (e.g. who publishes report, who relies on ACER's report)
 - Any deviation from the 'common approach' on specific topics/NRAs.



Action plans and derogations 1/2

 ACER publishes on its website the list (with the main characteristics) of all action plans and derogations granted to the TSOs

Measure	Year	# of MS*	Member States
Action plans	2020	3	DE, NL, PL
	2021	5	+ AT, RO
	2022+	7?	+ HR? +HU?
Derogatio ns	2020	16	AT, BE, BG, CZ, ES, FR, GR, HR, HU, IT, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SK
	2021	15	-RO
	2022	13	-FR, - GR, - HU, +RO, (SE**)

^{*}That requested a measure for at least one of their bidding-zones

^{**}Not yet approved



Action plans and derogations 2/2

A reminder about previous considerations on derogations:

The informal guidance on derogations provided by Regulators during previous MESC meetings, remains valid. In particular

- Regulatory authorities should grant derogations, as a last resort measure, and only where necessary for maintaining operational security.
- TSOs and regulatory authorities should ensure that the subsequent derogations gradually increase the cross-zonal capacity offered to the market, with a view to meeting the 70% minimum target.
- In particular, derogations should set intermediate targets.
- In the foreseeable future, with the implementation of coordinated capacity calculation and other key methodologies (cost sharing), the need/importance of derogations should decrease

Thank you.

The contents of this document do not necessarily reflect the position or opinion of the Agency.





